UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,058	04/23/2004	Cunku Xi	P69670US0	8717
136 JACOBSON H	7590 10/05/2007 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			WEIER, ANTHONY J	
SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2007	PAPER ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	10/830,058	XI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anthony Weier	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mid. cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		:			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	ılv 2007				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.	on agnaidentian				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are withdrawn fro 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	om consideration.				
<u> </u>		•			
6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a atautha a	. :			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	,			
Application Papers		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l Stage		
application from the International Bureau			, olugo		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ot received.			
•					
AMaabaaawwa)					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice o	f Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·			

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 7/20/07 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7, the term "the conventional method" lacks antecedent basis. It is not clear as to what methods are encompassed by such terminology. In addition, the words "and so on" are indefinite in that it is not clear what is encompassed by such technology.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 61-25454 taken together with any one of Kakade, Zwiercan et al, and Burkwall, Jr.
- JP 61-25454 discloses a soybean noodle prepared from soy curd and a binding agent and containing water as called for in the instant claims (e.g. 80%).

Art Unit: 1761

JP 61-25454 is silent regarding the binding agent being a soybean powder. However, soybean flour is a notoriously well known binding agent used in foods as demonstrated in, for example, any one of Kakade (col. 1), Zwiercan et al (col. 3), and Burkwall, Jr. (col. 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed soybean flour as matter of preference among conventional binding agents used in foods. It should be further noted that the soybean flour is inherently defatted.

The claims further call for the presence of soybean protein powder. However, same naturally exists within at least the soybean flour.

The claims also call for the source of soy curd being a byproduct of the soybean protein powder. It is not seen where the particular processing source of the soy curd would make for a patentable distinction, and it would have been further obvious to have employed soy curd from such processing source as a matter of preference depending on, for example, availability or cost compared to other soy curd sources.

The claims also call for the amounts of various ingredients and the degree to which the soybean powder has been defatted. However, absent a showing of unexpected results, it would have been further obvious to have determined said amounts through routine experimental optimization to attain, for example, the texture or strength of noodle desired.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Weier whose telephone number is 571-272-1409. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anthony Weier September 26, 2007 **Anthony Weier Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1761

26